

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,473 12/14/2001		Klaus Lorenz	Mo-6459/LeA 34,257	6808	
157	7590 07/30/2003			+	
	LYMERS LLC		EXAMI	NER	
100 BAYER R PITTSBURGH			SERGENT, RABON A		
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	c		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//		
***	W.		10/020,473	LORENZ ET AL.	V		
Office Action Summary		y	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Rabon Sergent	1711			
	The MAILING DATE of this com	munication a		vith the correspondence add	lress		
Period fo	• •						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIC MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM asions of time may be available under the prov SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maxim re to reply within the set or extended period for eply received by the Office later than three moded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION isions of 37 CFR communication. nirty (30) days, a rum statutory perior reply will, by stationths after the mai	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a apply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 Mav 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in cond	,		atters, prosecution as to the	merits is		
,	closed in accordance with the pon of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pen	ding in the a	pplication.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdi	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are reject	cted.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected t	О.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to b	y the Examir	ner.				
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
_	Applicant may not request that an	-					
11)[1	The proposed drawing correction		_	disapproved by the Examine	r.		
	If approved, corrected drawings a	•	• •				
,	The oath or declaration is objected	•	=xaminer.				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a c		gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
-	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None						
	1. Certified copies of the price	·					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
		nternational E	iority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not		Stage		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a cla	im for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application		
) ☐ The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a cla		• •				
Attachment	:(s)						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi enation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14		5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO			

Application/Control Number: 10/020,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. It is noted that applicants have claimed propanol within claim 1 and n-propanol within claim 7 as the alcohol species for ester (II); therefore, given applicants' use of different terminology, it is questioned if the propanol species of claim 1 is to encompass species other than n-propanol.

2. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' specification contains an inconsistency with respect to a central aspect of the invention. Specifically, within the claims and page 3 of the specification, applicants state that the maximum ratio of ester groups in component f) to the number of amino groups in component e) is 1.0, preferably 0.5 to 0.8. However, within page 12 of the specification, applicants state that the maximum ratio of amino groups in component e) to the number of ester groups in component f) is 1.0, preferably 0.5 to 0.8. The two ratios are not equivalent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent July 28, 2003